



Online Resource 3. Fe concentration in upper leaves (a), lower leaves (b), roots (c) of tomato plants expressing *AhHMA4* (lines 6, 8), and wild-type (WT), grown for 101 days in soil spiked with 10 mg Cd/kg d.m. and without Cd (control soil). Values correspond to means \pm SD (n=3); Different letters represent significantly different values at $P < 0.05$ for wild-type plants grown upon different medium composition; (evaluated by Student's *t*-test).

Fe concentration from fruits collected from upper and lower bunches, and from seeds collected from these fruits, were not significantly different between transgenic and WT plants. Fe concentrations [mg/kg d.m.] are as follow:

fruits from upper bunches: (-Cd) Line 6: $50,89 \pm 9,54$; Line 8: $42,22 \pm 3,17$; WT $55,85 \pm 15,69$; (+Cd) Line 6: $47,78 \pm 9,67$; Line 8: $49,85 \pm 8,04$; WT $50,30 \pm 4,13$;
fruits from lower bunches: (-Cd) Line 6: $44,84 \pm 7,02$; Line 8: $38,83 \pm 3,51$; WT $49,60 \pm 7,16$; (+Cd) Line 6: $42,76 \pm 12,64$; Line 8: $40,67 \pm 5,25$; WT $49,57 \pm 0,42$,
seeds from upper bunches: (-Cd) Line 6: $76,03 \pm 37,96$; Line 8: $68,93 \pm 7,27$; WT $72,67 \pm 24,22$; (+Cd) Line 6: $40,21 \pm 4,47$; Line 8: $88,84 \pm 14,97$; WT $37,55 \pm 4,92$,
seeds from lower bunches: (-Cd) Line 6: $48,14 \pm 4,48$; Line 8: $67,34 \pm 18,49$; WT $41,65 \pm 6,86$, (+Cd) Line 6: $59,65 \pm 9,43$; Line 8: $52,95 \pm 3,67$; WT $42,76 \pm 14,06$.

Determination the usefulness of *AhHMA4p1::AhHMA4* expression in biofortification strategies.

Water, Air and Soil Pollution

Aleksandra Weremczuk¹, Anna Barabasz¹, Anna Ruszczyńska², Ewa Bulska² and Danuta Maria Antosiewicz^{1*}

¹University of Warsaw, Faculty of Biology, Warszawa, Poland.

²University of Warsaw, Faculty of Chemistry, Warszawa, Poland.

* corresponding author: Danuta Maria Antosiewicz, email: dma@biol.uw.edu.pl